

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,811	08/25/2003		Rainer Gadow	4965-000160	2359
27572	7590	12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
	•	Y & PIERCE, P.L.	PAIK, SANG YEOP		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•		3742	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 / /					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/647,811	GADOW ET AL.	ĭ					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Sang Y Paik	3742						
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress					
A SH THE - Extended - If the - If No - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cr SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the reply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. 5, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. mmunication.					
Status				•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	31 August 2004.							
2a)□	·	This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposi	tion of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31 and 37</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>32-36 and 38-40</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.							
Applicat	tion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.						
•	Applicant may not request that any objection t	= : :							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National S	Stage					
Attachmer		. · ·							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date	· ' 🗖	nformal Patent Application (PTO	-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/647,811

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin et al (US 3,978,315) in view of Miyata (US 6,448,538) or Abbott et al (US 6,762,396).

Martin et al show a ceramic cooktop with a cooktop (1) made of glass ceramic, an intermediate layer (2) made of a cermet material having a metal matrix of cobalt and a ceramic material including ceramic oxides, an insulating layer (3) made of cordierite, and a heat conductor layer (4). Martin et al further show that the glass coating on the ceramic glass and the conductive layer are provided utilizing the known method which includes spraying. However, Martin et al do not explicitly show that the spraying is thermal spraying.

Miyata shows a ceramic heater with the dielectric films provided by means of thermal spraying. Abbott et al also show that it is well known in the art to use the thermal spraying to provide the coating films on the ceramic substrate. In view of Miyata or Abbott et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Martin et al with the thermal spraying means to provide the coated layers to improve the adhesion of such layers to the ceramic substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,811 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

3. Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin et al in view of Miyata or Abbott et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Alexander (US 3,110,571) or Flaitz et al (US 4,764,341).

Martin et al in view of Miyata or Abbott et al show the ceramic cooktop claimed except a ceramic bonding layer.

Alexander shows a ceramic bonding layer to bond a ceramic to a metallic layer. Flaitz et al also shows a ceramic bonding layer to bond a ceramic substrate to a metallic layer. In view of Alexander or Flaitz et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Martin et al, as modified by Miyata or Abbott et al, with a ceramic bonding layer to provide a ceramic bonding layer between a ceramic substrate and a metallic layer such as the cermet material to more securely bond such layers.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-31 and 37 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-4783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:00) First Friday Off.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,811

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5-8

Sang Y Paik **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3742

Page 4

syp